

The Verlinde formula for $\mathbf{PGL}_p$

Arnaud BEAUVILLE¹

To the memory of
Claude ITZYKSON

Introduction

The Verlinde formula expresses the number of linearly independent conformal blocks in any rational conformal field theory. I am concerned here with a quite particular case, the Wess-Zumino-Witten model associated to a complex semi-simple group² G . In this case the space of conformal blocks can be interpreted as the space of holomorphic sections of a line bundle on a particular projective variety, the moduli space M_G of holomorphic G -bundles on the given Riemann surface. The fact that the dimension of this space of sections can be explicitly computed is of great interest for mathematicians, and a number of rigorous proofs of that formula (usually called by mathematicians, somewhat incorrectly, the “Verlinde formula”) have been recently given (see e.g. [F], [B-L], [L-S]).

These proofs deal only with simply-connected groups. In this paper we treat the case of the projective group $\mathbf{PGL}_r$ when r is prime.

Our approach is to relate to the case of $\mathbf{SL}_r$, using standard algebro-geometric methods. The components $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d$ ($0 \leq d < r$) of the moduli space $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}$ can be identified with the quotients M_r^d/J_r , where M_r^d is the moduli space of vector bundles on X of rank r and fixed determinant of degree d , and J_r the finite group of holomorphic line bundles α on X such that $\alpha^{\otimes r}$ is trivial. The space we are looking for is the space of J_r -invariant global sections of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on M_r^d ; its dimension can be expressed in terms of the character of the representation of J_r on $H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{L})$. This is given by the Lefschetz trace formula, with a subtlety for $d = 0$, since M_r^0 is not smooth. The key point (already used in [N-R]) which makes the computation quite easy is that the fixed point set of any non-zero element of J_r is an abelian variety – this is where the assumption on the group is essential. Extending the method to other cases would require a Chern classes computation on the moduli space M_H for some semi-simple subgroups H of G ; this may be feasible, but goes far beyond the scope of the present paper. Note that the case of $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_2}^1$ has been previously worked out in [P] (with an unfortunate misprint in the formula).

¹ Partially supported by the European HCM project “Algebraic Geometry in Europe” (AGE).

² This group is the complexification of the compact semi-simple group considered by physicists.

In the last section we check that our formulas agree with the predictions of Conformal Field Theory, as they appear for instance in [F-S-S]. Note that our results are slightly more precise (in this particular case): we get a formula for $\dim H^0(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d, \mathcal{L})$ for every d , while CFT only predicts the sum of these dimensions (see Remark 4.3).

1. The moduli space $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}$

(1.1) Throughout the paper we denote by X a compact (connected) Riemann surface, of genus $g \geq 2$; we fix a point p of X . Principal $\mathbf{PGL}_r$ -bundles on X correspond in a one-to-one way to projective bundles of rank $r - 1$ on X , i.e. bundles of the form $\mathbf{P}(E)$, where E is a rank r vector bundle on X ; we say that $\mathbf{P}(E)$ is semi-stable if the vector bundle E is semi-stable. The semi-stable projective bundles of rank $r - 1$ on X are parameterized by a projective variety, the moduli space $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}$.

Two vector bundles E, F give rise to isomorphic projective bundles if and only if F is isomorphic to $E \otimes \alpha$ for some line bundle α on X . Thus a projective bundle can always be written as $\mathbf{P}(E)$ with $\det E = \mathcal{O}_X(dp)$, $0 \leq d < r$; the vector bundle E is then determined up to tensor product by a line bundle α with $\alpha^r = \mathcal{O}_X$. In particular, the moduli space $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}$ has r connected components $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d$ ($0 \leq d < r$). Let us denote by M_r^d the moduli space of semi-stable vector bundles on X of rank r and determinant $\mathcal{O}_X(dp)$, and by J_r the kernel of the multiplication by r in the Jacobian JX of X ; it is a finite group, canonically isomorphic to $H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}/(r))$. The group J_r acts on M_r^d , by the rule $(\alpha, E) \mapsto E \otimes \alpha$; it follows from the above remarks that the component $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d$ is isomorphic to the quotient M_r^d/J_r .

(1.2) We will need a precise description of the line bundles on $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}$. Let me first recall how one describes line bundles on M_r^d [D-N]: a simple way is to mimic the classical definition of the theta divisor on the Jacobian of X (i.e. in the rank 1 case). Put $\delta = (r, d)$; let A be a vector bundle on X of rank r/δ and degree $(r(g-1) - d)/\delta$. These conditions imply $\chi(E \otimes A) = 0$ for all E in M_r^d ; if A is general enough, it follows that the condition $H^0(X, E \otimes A) \neq 0$ defines a (Cartier) divisor Θ_A in M_r^d . The corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d := \mathcal{O}(\Theta_A)$ does not depend on the choice of A , and generates the Picard group $\text{Pic}(M_r^d)$.

(1.3) The quotient map $q : M_r^d \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d$ induces a homomorphism $q^* : \text{Pic}(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(M_r^d)$, which is easily seen to be injective. Its image is determined in [B-L-S]: it is generated by $\mathcal{L}_d^\delta$ if r is odd, by $\mathcal{L}_d^{2\delta}$ if r is even.

(1.4) Let $\mathcal{L}'$ be a line bundle on $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d$. The line bundle $\mathcal{L} := q^*\mathcal{L}'$ on

M_r^d admits a natural action of J_r , compatible with the action of J_r on M_r^d (this is often called a J_r -linearization of $\mathcal{L}$). This action is characterized by the property that every element α of J_r acts trivially on the fibre of $\mathcal{L}$ at a point of M_r^d fixed by α . In the sequel we will always consider line bundles on M_r^d of the form $q^*\mathcal{L}'$, and endow them with the above J_r -linearization.

This linearization defines a representation of J_r on the space of global sections; essentially by definition, the global sections of $\mathcal{L}'$ correspond to the J_r -invariant sections of $\mathcal{L}$. Therefore our task will be to compute the dimension of the space of invariant sections; as indicated in the introduction, we will do that by computing, for any $\alpha \in J_r$ of order r , the trace of α acting on $H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{L})$.

2. The action of J_r on $H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{L}_d^k)$

We start with the case when r and d are coprime, which is easier to deal with because the moduli space is smooth.

Proposition 2.1 .— *Assume r and d are coprime. Let k be an integer; if r is even we assume that k is even. Let α be an element of order r in JX . Then the trace of α acting on $H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{L}_d^k)$ is $(k+1)^{(r-1)(g-1)}$.*

Proof: The Lefschetz trace formula reads [A-S]

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha | H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{L}_d^k)) = \int_P \mathrm{Todd}(T_P) \lambda(N_{P/M_r^d}, \alpha)^{-1} \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathcal{L}_{d|P}^k, \alpha) .$$

Here P is the fixed subvariety of α ; whenever F is a vector bundle on P and φ a diagonalizable endomorphism of F , so that F is the direct sum of its eigen-subbundles F_λ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, we put

$$\tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(F, \varphi) = \sum \lambda \mathrm{ch}(F_\lambda) \quad ; \quad \lambda(F, \varphi) = \prod_\lambda \sum_{p \geq 0} (-\lambda)^p \mathrm{ch}(\Lambda^p F_\lambda^*) .$$

We have a number of informations on the right hand side thanks to [N-R]:

(2.1 a) Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the étale r -sheeted covering associated to α ; put $\xi = \alpha^{r(r-1)/2} \in JX$. The map $L \mapsto \pi_*(L)$ identifies any component of the fibre of the norm map $\mathrm{Nm} : J^d \tilde{X} \rightarrow J^d X$ over $\xi(dp)$ with P . In particular, P is isomorphic to an abelian variety, hence the term $\mathrm{Todd}(T_P)$ is trivial.

(2.1 b) Let $\theta \in H^2(P, \mathbf{Z})$ be the restriction to P of the class of the principal polarization of $J^d \tilde{X}$. The term $\lambda(N_{P/M_r^d}, \alpha)$ is equal to $r^{r(g-1)} e^{-r\theta}$.

(2.1 c) The dimension of P is $N = (r-1)(g-1)$, and one has $\int_P \frac{\theta^N}{N!} = r^{g-1}$.

With our convention the action of α on $\mathcal{L}_{d|P}^k$ is trivial. The class $c_1(\mathcal{L}_{d|P})$ is equal to $r\theta$: the pull back to P of the theta divisor Θ_A (1.2) is the divisor of

line bundles L in P with $H^0(L \otimes \pi^* A) \neq 0$; to compute its cohomology class we may replace $\pi^* A$ by any vector bundle with the same rank and degree, in particular by a direct sum of r line bundles of degree $r(g-1) - d$, which gives the required formula.

Putting things together, we find

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha | H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{L}_d^k)) = \int_P r^{-r(g-1)} e^{r\theta} e^{kr\theta} = (k+1)^{(r-1)(g-1)} . \quad \blacksquare$$

We now consider the degree 0 case:

Proposition 2.2.— *Let k be a multiple of r , and of $2r$ if r is even; let α be an element of order r in JX . Then the trace of α acting on $H^0(M_r^0, \mathcal{L}_0^k)$ is $(\frac{k}{r} + 1)^{(r-1)(g-1)}$.*

Proof. We cannot apply directly the Lefschetz trace formula since it is manageable only for smooth projective varieties; instead we use another well-known tool, the Hecke correspondence (this idea appears for instance in [B-S]). For simplicity we write M_d instead of M_r^d . There exists a Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $X \times M_1$, i.e. a vector bundle whose restriction to $X \times \{E\}$, for each point E of M_1 , is isomorphic to E . Such a bundle is determined up to tensor product by a line bundle coming from M_1 ; we will see later how to normalize it. We denote by $\mathcal{E}_p$ the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\{p\} \times M_1$, and by $\mathcal{P}$ the projective bundle $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_p^*)$ on M_1 . A point of $\mathcal{P}$ is a pair (E, φ) where E is a vector bundle in M_1 and $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$ a non-zero homomorphism, defined up to a scalar; the kernel of φ is then a vector bundle $F \in M_1$, and we can view equivalently a point of $\mathcal{P}$ as a pair of vector bundles (F, E) with $F \in M_0$, $E \in M_1$ and $F \subset E$. The projections p_d on M_d ($d = 0, 1$) give rise to the “Hecke diagram”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{P} & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_0 \\ M_1 & & M_0 \end{array} .$$

Lemma 2.3.— *The Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{E}$ can be normalized (in a unique way) so that $\det \mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{L}_1$; then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(1) \cong p_0^* \mathcal{L}_0$.*

Proof. Let $E \in M_1$. The fibre $p_1^{-1}(E)$ is the projective space of non-zero linear forms $\ell : E_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, up to a scalar. The restriction of $p_0^* \mathcal{L}_0$ to this projective space is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ (choose a line bundle L of degree $g-1$ on X ; if E is general enough, $H^0(X, E \otimes L)$ is spanned by a section s with $s(p) \neq 0$, and the condition that the bundle F corresponding to ℓ belongs to Θ_L is the vanishing of $\ell(s(p))$). Therefore

$p_0^* \mathcal{L}_0$ is of the form $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(1) \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{N}$ for some line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on M_1 . Replacing $\mathcal{E}$ by $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ we ensure $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(1) \cong p_0^* \mathcal{L}_0$.

An easy computation gives $K_{\mathcal{P}} = p_1^* \mathcal{L}_1^{-1} \otimes p_0^* \mathcal{L}_0^{-r}$ ([B-L-S], Lemma 10.3). On the other hand, since $\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_p^*)$, one has $K_{\mathcal{P}} = p_1^*(K_{M_1} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}_p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(-r)$; using $K_{M_1} = \mathcal{L}_1^{-2}$ [D-N], we get $\det \mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{L}_1$. ■

We normalize $\mathcal{E}$ as in the lemma; this gives for each $k \geq 0$ a canonical isomorphism $p_{1*} p_0^* \mathcal{L}_0^k \cong \mathbf{S}^k \mathcal{E}_p$. Let α be an element of order r of JX . It acts on the various moduli spaces in sight; with a slight abuse of language, I will still denote by α the corresponding automorphism. There exists an isomorphism $\alpha^* \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} \otimes \alpha$, unique up to a scalar ([N-R], lemma 4.7); the induced isomorphism $u : \alpha^* \mathcal{E}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_p$ induces the action of α on $\mathcal{P}$. Imposing $u^r = \mathrm{Id}$ determines u up to a r -th root of unity, hence determines completely $\mathbf{S}^k u$ when k is a multiple of r . Since the Hecke diagram is equivariant with respect to α , it gives rise to a diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^0(\mathcal{P}, p_0^* \mathcal{L}_0^k) & \\ p_1^* \nearrow & & \nwarrow p_0^* \\ H^0(M_1, \mathbf{S}^k \mathcal{E}_p) & & H^0(M_0, \mathcal{L}_0^k) \end{array}$$

which is compatible with the action of α ; in particular, the trace we are looking for is equal to the trace of α on $H^0(M_1, \mathbf{S}^k \mathcal{E}_p)$.

We are now in the situation of Prop. 2.1, and the Lefschetz trace formula gives:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha | H^0(M_1, \mathbf{S}^k \mathcal{E}_p)) = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \mathrm{Todd}(\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{P}}) \lambda(N_{\mathcal{P}/M_1}, \alpha)^{-1} \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbf{S}^k \mathcal{E}_{p|P}, \alpha).$$

The only term we need to compute is $\tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbf{S}^k \mathcal{E}_{p|P}, \alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the restriction to $\tilde{X} \times P$ of a Poincaré line bundle on $\tilde{X} \times J^1 \tilde{X}$; let us still denote by $\pi : \tilde{X} \times P \rightarrow X \times P$ the map $\pi \times \mathrm{Id}_P$. The vector bundles $\pi_*(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mathcal{E}_{|X \times P}$ have the same restriction to $X \times \{\gamma\}$ for all $\gamma \in P$, hence after tensoring $\mathcal{N}$ by a line bundle on P we may assume they are isomorphic ([R], lemma 2.5). Restricting to $\{p\} \times P$ we get $\mathcal{E}_{p|P} = \bigoplus_{\pi(q)=p} \mathcal{N}_q$, with $\mathcal{N}_q = \mathcal{N}_{|\{q\} \times P}$.

We claim that the $\mathcal{N}_q$'s are the eigen-sub-bundles of $\mathcal{E}_{p|P}$ relative to α . By (2.1 a), a pair $(E, F) \in \mathcal{P}$ is fixed by α if and only if $E = \pi_* L$, $F = \pi_* L'$, with $\mathrm{Nm}(L) = \xi(p)$, $\mathrm{Nm}(L') = \xi$; because of the inclusion $F \subset E$ we may take L' of the form $L(-q)$, for some point $q \in \pi^{-1}(p)$. In other words, the fixed locus of α acting on $\mathcal{P}$ is the disjoint union of the sections $(\sigma_q)_{q \in \pi^{-1}(p)}$ of the fibration $p_1^{-1}(P) \rightarrow P$ characterized by $\sigma_q(\pi_* L) = (\pi_* L, \pi_*(L(-q)))$. Viewing $\mathcal{P}$ as $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_{p|P}^*)$, the section σ_q corresponds to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{N}(-q))_{|\{p\} \times P} \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{N})_{|\{p\} \times P} \cong \mathcal{E}_{|\{p\} \times P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore on each fibre $\mathbf{P}(E_p)$, for $E \in P$, the automorphism α has exactly r fixed points, corresponding to the r sub-spaces $\mathcal{N}_{(q,E)}$ for $q \in \pi^{-1}(p)$; this proves our claim.

The line bundles $\mathcal{N}_q$ for $q \in \tilde{X}$ are algebraically equivalent, and therefore have the same Chern class. We thus have $c_1(\mathcal{E}_p|_P) = r c_1(\mathcal{N}_q)$. On the other hand we know that $\det \mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{L}_1$ (lemma 2.3), and that $c_1(\mathcal{L}_1|_P) = r\theta$ (proof of Prop. 2.1). By comparison we get $c_1(\mathcal{N}_q) = \theta$. Putting things together we obtain

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathbf{S}^k \mathcal{E}_p|_P, \alpha) = \int_P \text{Tr} \mathbf{S}^k D_r e^{k\theta} r^{-r(g-1)} e^{r\theta}$$

where D_r is the diagonal r -by- r matrix with entries the r distinct r -th roots of unity.

Lemma 2.4. — *The trace of $\mathbf{S}^k D_r$ is 1 if r divides k and 0 otherwise.*

Consider the formal series $s(T) := \sum_{i \geq 0} T^i \text{Tr} \mathbf{S}^i u$ and $\lambda(T) := \sum_{i \geq 0} T^i \text{Tr} \mathbf{\Lambda}^i u$. The formula $s(T)\lambda(-T) = 1$ is well-known (see e.g. [Bo], § 9, formula (11)). But

$$\lambda(-T) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-T)^i \text{Tr} \mathbf{\Lambda}^i u = \prod_{\zeta^r=1} (1 - \zeta T) = 1 - T^r,$$

hence the lemma. Using (2.1 c) the Proposition follows. ■

3. Formulas

In this section I will apply the above results to compute the dimension of the space of sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d^k$ on the moduli space $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d$. Let me first recall the corresponding Verlinde formula for the moduli spaces M_r^d . Let $\delta = (r, d)$; we write $\mathcal{L}_d = \mathcal{D}^{r/\delta}$, with the convention that we only consider powers of $\mathcal{D}$ which are multiple of r/δ (the line bundle $\mathcal{D}$ actually makes sense on the *moduli stack* $\mathcal{M}_r^d$, and generates its Picard group). We denote by μ_r the center of $\mathbf{SL}_r$, i.e. the group of scalar matrices ζI_r with $\zeta^r = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. — *Let T_k be the set of diagonal matrices $t = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ in $\mathbf{SL}_r(\mathbf{C})$ with $t_i \neq t_j$ for $i \neq j$, and $t^{k+r} \in \mu_r$; for $t \in T_k$, let $\delta(t) = \prod_{i < j} (t_i - t_j)$.*

Then

$$\dim H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{D}^k) = r^{g-1} (k+r)^{(r-1)(g-1)} \sum_{t \in T_k / \mathfrak{S}_r} \frac{((-1)^{r-1} t^{k+r})^{-d}}{|\delta(t)|^{2g-2}}.$$

Proof: According to [B-L], Thm. 9.1, the space $H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{D}^k)$ for $0 < d < r$ is canonically isomorphic to the space of conformal blocks in genus g with the representation $V_{k\varpi_{r-d}}$ of $\mathbf{SL}_r$ with highest weight $k\varpi_{r-d}$ inserted at one point. The Verlinde formula gives therefore (see [B], Cor. 9.8¹):

$$\dim H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{D}^k) = r^{g-1}(k+r)^{(r-1)(g-1)} \sum_{t \in T_k/\mathfrak{S}_r} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{V_{k\varpi_{r-d}}}(t)}{|\delta(t)|^{2g-2}} ;$$

this is still valid for $d=0$ with the convention $\varpi_r = 0$.

The character of the representation $V_{k\varpi_{r-d}}$ is given by the Schur formula (see e.g. [F-H], Thm. 6.3):

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{V_{k\varpi_{r-d}}}(t) = \frac{1}{\delta(t)} \begin{vmatrix} t_1^{k+r-1} & t_2^{k+r-1} & \dots & t_r^{k+r-1} \\ t_1^{k+r-2} & t_2^{k+r-2} & \dots & t_r^{k+r-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ t_1^{k+d} & t_2^{k+d} & \dots & t_r^{k+d} \\ t_1^{d-1} & t_2^{d-1} & \dots & t_r^{d-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} .$$

Writing $t^{k+r} = \zeta I_r \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_r$, the big determinant reduces to $\zeta^{r-d}(-1)^{d(r-d)} \det(t_j^{d-i})$, and finally, since $\prod t_i = 1$, to $((-1)^{r-1}\zeta)^{-d}\delta(t)$, which gives the required formula. ■

Corollary 3.2.— *Let T'_k be the set of matrices $t = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ in $\mathbf{SL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ with $t_i \neq t_j$ if $i \neq j$, and $t^{k+r} = (-1)^{r-1}I_r$. Then*

$$\sum_{d=0}^{r-1} \dim H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{D}^k) = r^g(k+r)^{(r-1)(g-1)} \sum_{t \in T'_k/\mathfrak{S}_r} \frac{1}{|\delta(t)|^{2g-2}} . \quad \blacksquare$$

We now consider the moduli space $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}$. We know that the line bundle $\mathcal{D}^k$ on M_r^d descends to $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d = M_r^d/J_r$ exactly when k is a multiple of r if r is odd, or of $2r$ if r is even (1.3). When this is the case we obtain a line bundle on $M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d$, that we will still denote by $\mathcal{D}^k$; its global sections correspond to the J_r -invariant sections of $H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{D}^k)$.

We will assume that r is *prime*, so that every non-zero element α of J_r has order r . Then Prop. 2.1 and 2.2 lead immediately to a formula for the dimension of the J_r -invariant subspace of $H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{D}^k)$ as the average of the numbers $\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha)$ for α in J_r . Using Prop. 3.1 we conclude:

¹ There is a misprint in the first equality of that corollary, where one should read T_ℓ^{reg}/W instead of T_ℓ^{reg} ; the second equality (and the proof!) are correct.

Proposition 3.3.— Assume that r is prime. Let k be a multiple of r ; if $r = 2$ assume $4 \mid k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d, \mathcal{D}^k) &= r^{-2g} \dim H^0(M_r^d, \mathcal{D}^k) + (1 - r^{-2g}) \left(\frac{k}{r} + 1 \right)^{(r-1)(g-1)} \\ &= r^{-2g} \left(\frac{k}{r} + 1 \right)^{(r-1)(g-1)} \left(r^{r(g-1)} \sum_{t \in T_k / \mathfrak{S}_r} \frac{((-1)^{r-1} t^{k+r})^{-d}}{|\delta(t)|^{2g-2}} + r^{2g} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over d and plugging in Cor. 3.2 gives the following rather complicated formula:

Corollary 3.4.—

$$\dim H^0(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}, \mathcal{D}^k) = r^{1-2g} \left(\frac{k}{r} + 1 \right)^{(r-1)(g-1)} \left(r^{r(g-1)} \sum_{t \in T'_k / \mathfrak{S}_r} \frac{1}{|\delta(t)|^{2g-2}} + r^{2g} - 1 \right).$$

As an example, if k is an integer divisible by 4, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \dim H^0(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_2}, \mathcal{D}^k) = 2^{1-2g} \left(\frac{k}{2} + 1 \right)^{g-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{l \text{ odd} \\ 0 < l < k+2}} \frac{1}{(\sin \frac{l\pi}{k+2})^{2g-2}} + 2^{2g} - 1 \right).$$

4. Relations with Conformal Field Theory

(4.1) According to Conformal Field Theory, the space $H^0(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}, \mathcal{D}^k)$ should be canonically isomorphic to the space of conformal blocks for a certain Conformal Field Theory, the WZW model associated to the projective group. This implies in particular that its dimension should be equal to $\sum_j |S_{0j}|^{2-2g}$, where (S_{ij}) is a unitary symmetric matrix. For instance in the case of the WZW model associated to $\mathbf{SL}_2$, one has

$$S_{0j} = \frac{\sin \frac{(j+1)\pi}{k+2}}{\sqrt{\frac{k}{2} + 1}}, \quad \text{with } 0 \leq j \leq k,$$

where the index j can be thought as running through the set of irreducible representations $\mathbf{S}^1, \dots, \mathbf{S}^k$ of $\mathbf{SL}_2$ (or equivalently $\mathbf{SU}_2$), with $\mathbf{S}^j := \mathbf{S}^j(\mathbf{C}^2)$.

We deduce from (3.5) an analogous expression for $\mathbf{PGL}_2$: we restrict ourselves to even indices and write

$$S'_{0j} = 2 S_{0j} \quad \text{for } j \text{ even } < k/2; \quad S'_{0, \frac{k}{2}(1)} = S'_{0, \frac{k}{2}(2)} = S_{0, \frac{k}{2}}.$$

In other words, we consider only those representations of $\mathbf{SL}_2$ which factor through $\mathbf{PGL}_2$ and we identify the representation $\mathbf{S}^{2j}$ with $\mathbf{S}^{k-2j}$, doubling the coefficient

S_{0j} when these two representations are distinct, and counting twice the representation which is fixed by the involution (this process is well-known, see e.g. [M-S]).

(4.2) The case of $\mathbf{SL}_r$ is completely analogous; we only need a few more terminology from representation theory (we follow the notation of [B]). The primary fields are indexed by the set P_k of dominant weights λ with $\lambda(H_\theta) \leq k$, where H_θ is the matrix $\text{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$. For $\lambda \in P_k$, we put $t_\lambda = \exp 2\pi i \frac{\lambda + \rho}{k + r}$ (we identify the Cartan algebra of diagonal matrices with its dual using the standard bilinear form); the map $\lambda \mapsto t_\lambda$ induces a bijection of P_k onto $T_k/\mathfrak{S}_r$ ([B], lemma 9.3 c)). In view of Prop. 3.1, the coefficient $S_{0\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P_k$ is given by

$$S_{0\lambda} = \frac{\delta(t_\lambda)}{\sqrt{r}(k+r)^{(r-1)/2}}.$$

Passing to $\mathbf{PGL}_r$, we first restrict the indices to the subset P'_k of elements $\lambda \in P_k$ such that t_λ belongs to T'_k ; this means that λ belongs to the root lattice, i.e. that the representation V_λ factors through $\mathbf{PGL}_r$. The center μ_r acts on T_k by multiplication; this action preserves T'_k , and commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{S}_r$. The corresponding action on P_k is deduced, via the bijection $\lambda \mapsto \frac{\lambda + \rho}{k + r}$, from the standard action of μ_r on the fundamental alcove A with vertices $\{0, \varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_{r-1}\}$.¹

We identify two elements of P'_k if they are in the same orbit with respect to this action. The action has a unique fixed point, the weight $\frac{k}{r}\rho$, which corresponds to the diagonal matrix D_r (2.4); we associate to this weight r indices $\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)}$, and put

$$S'_{0\lambda} = r S_{0\lambda} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P'_k/\mu_r, \lambda \neq \frac{k}{r}\rho; \quad S'_{0, \nu^{(i)}} = S_{0, \frac{k}{r}\rho} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

One deduces easily from Cor. 3.4 the formula $\dim H^0(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}, \mathcal{D}^k) = \sum |S'_{0\lambda}|^{2-2g}$, where λ runs over $P'_k/\mu_r \cup \{\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)}\}$.

Remark 4.3.— It is not clear to me what is the physical meaning of the space $H^0(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d, \mathcal{D}^k)$, in particular if its dimension can be predicted in terms of the S-matrix. It is interesting to observe that the number $N(g)$ given by Prop. 3.3, which is equal to $\dim H^0(M_{\mathbf{PGL}_r}^d, \mathcal{D}^k)$ for $g \geq 2$, is not necessarily an integer for $g = 1$: for $d = 0$ one finds $N(1) = 1 + \frac{(k+1)^{r-1} - 1}{r^2}$, which is not an integer unless $r^2 \mid k$.

¹ The element $\exp \varpi_1$ of the center gives the rotation of A which maps 0 to ϖ_1 , ϖ_1 to ϖ_2 , $\dots$, and ϖ_{r-1} to 0 .

REFERENCES

- [A-S] M.F. ATIYAH, I.M. SINGER: *The index of elliptic operators III*. Ann. of Math. **87**, 546-604 (1968).
- [B] A. BEAUVILLE: *Conformal blocks, Fusion rings and the Verlinde formula*. Proc. of the Hirzebruch 65 Conf. on Algebraic Geometry, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **9**, 75-96 (1996).
- [B-L] A. BEAUVILLE, Y. LASZLO: *Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions*. Comm. Math. Phys. **164**, 385-419 (1994).
- [B-L-S] A. BEAUVILLE, Y. LASZLO, Ch. SORGER: *The Picard group of the moduli of G-bundles on a curve*. Preprint alg-geom/9608002.
- [B-S] A. BERTRAM, A. SZENES: *Hilbert polynomials of moduli spaces of rank 2 vector bundles II*. Topology **32**, 599-609 (1993).
- [Bo] N. BOURBAKI: *Algèbre*, Chap. X (Algèbre homologique). Masson, Paris (1980).
- [D-N] J.M. DREZET, M.S. NARASIMHAN: *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*. Invent. math. **97**, 53-94 (1989).
- [F] G. FALTINGS: *A proof for the Verlinde formula*. J. Algebraic Geometry **3**, 347-374 (1994).
- [F-S-S] J. FUCHS, B. SCHELLEKENS, Ch. SCHWEIGERT: *From Dynkin diagram symmetries to fixed point structures*. Preprint hep-th/9506135.
- [F-H] W. FULTON, J. HARRIS: *Representation theory*. GTM **129**, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg (1991).
- [L-S] Y. LASZLO, Ch. SORGER: *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G-bundles over curves and their sections*. Annales de l'ENS, to appear; preprint alg-geom/9507002.
- [M-S] G. MOORE, N. SEIBERG: *Taming the conformal zoo*. Phys. Letters B **220**, 422-430 (1989).
- [N-R] M.S. NARASIMHAN, S. RAMANAN: *Generalized Prym varieties as fixed points*. J. of the Indian Math. Soc. **39**, 1-19 (1975).
- [P] T. PANTEV: *Comparison of generalized theta functions*. Duke Math. J. **76**, 509-539 (1994).
- [R] S. RAMANAN: *The moduli spaces of vector bundles over an algebraic curve*. Math. Ann. **200**, 69-84 (1973).

Arnaud BEAUVILLE
 DMI – École Normale Supérieure
 (URA 759 du CNRS)
 45 rue d'Ulm
 F-75230 PARIS Cedex 05